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1.) The primary purpose in the development of Toothprints® bite impressions is to record an individual’s unique dental characteristics showing the size and shape of the teeth, position of the teeth within the dental arch, and the relationship of the maxillary and mandibular arches to each other.¹ These recordings on the Toothprints® produce an infinitesimal number of possible identifiers which make dental characteristics unique to every individual. Not even identical twins have the same dental characteristics.²

It is well accepted that the incidence of dental caries has significantly declined with resulting decline in the restoration of both primary and permanent teeth. These restorations have historically been the identifiers used in forensic identification of unknown or missing individuals. In fact, 80 percent of permanent teeth affected by dental caries are found in only 25 percent of the children.³ Toothprints® bite impressions would be an extremely important identification tool for children or adults who are caries free. No one would dispute the fact that a properly taken Toothprint® would be a beneficial adjunct to any dental record needed by forensic experts or law enforcement.

2.) Salivary DNA is derived from the constant shedding of epithelial cells from the oral mucosa. Objects remaining in the mouth for any period of time or the rubbing of objects against the tissues of the mouth collect this “salivary DNA.” Every law enforcement officer knows that DNA evidence from a salivary source may be on blankets, pillows, sheets, toothpicks, bite marks, bottles, cans, stamps, envelopes, cigarette butts, dental floss, and the list goes on. Although to my knowledge, no specific DNA tests have been done, the educated assumption would be that saliva collected from a Toothprints® wafer left in the mouth for 50 seconds and rubbing against intraoral tissues would contain a significant amount of genomic or mitochondrial DNA. The scientific literature and news journals have been filled with “cases” of the use of salivary DNA in criminal cases, such as the Parrott case (Canada) in which DNA saliva tests were made from cigarette

butts collected 10 years after the murder.\textsuperscript{4} The use of any DNA sample over extended periods of time is specific to each case and depends on many factors including extreme environmental conditions (temperature, UV exposure, sunlight, microorganisms, etc.) or contamination from substances which inhibit the analysis procedure\textsuperscript{5}. As technology for DNA retrieval and testing is rapidly improving, the sensitivity of the testing will allow for more accurate analysis from even minimal or degraded samples. It is unknown the specific length of time that DNA from a Toothprints\textsuperscript{®} wafer stored in a sealed ziplock bag would be useful. Storage of biological samples continues to be researched by law enforcement, forensic science and dental biologists.

3.) There is no agreement as to what the definition of scent is but all agree it is because of chemicals and bacteria in body fluids. Everyone’s scent is unique and saliva is known to have a large amount of chemistry and bacteria which account for its use in scent dog tracking. Two national organizations, the National Association of Search and Rescue (NASAR) and the National Association of Police Bloodhounds are the authority on scent dog tracking. We do know that scent remains on the body for up to 109 hours and that saliva is useful even if dried\textsuperscript{6}. A scent article for scent dog tracking may be any human product such as blood or saliva which has been worn, handled or come in contact with the subject. It is important to not contaminate the scent but most experts believe that we cannot mask scent. Dogs have over 200 million scent receiving cells in their nose and are capable of detecting scent molecules at concentrations as low as 10 parts per quadrillion. In one anecdotal “test” (Las Vegas, 2002), that I am aware of, scent dogs were given a Toothprint\textsuperscript{®} and were able to locate the individual hiding in a closet, on a different floor in the same building. It will vary in each case as to how long the scent from the saliva on the Toothprint\textsuperscript{®} will be useful to any given dog over any given time. In the future, dogs may be specifically scented and trained for saliva tracking.

4.) Law enforcement officials, district attorneys, school boards, missing childer organizations, philanthropic organizations, forensic dentists, private dental practitioners, dental hygiene and assisting associations and dental societies have recognized and/or endorsed Toothprints\textsuperscript{®} bite Impressions as a means of providing dental identification. Further, the American Academy of Pediatric Dentistry Policy Statement on Child Identification Programs states that “any community identification program should include a dental component.”

As a new tool in biometric identification, we continue to develop bite impression as a reliable means to record unique dental characteristics, capture saliva for DNA analysis and scent dog tracking.
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